

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 12/31/09
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | | |
|--|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Group | B | Overall |
| | | | PJs in State: | 92 | | | |
| Program Progress: | | | | | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 100.00 % | 96.14 % | 1 | 96.45 % | 100 | 100 | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 85.27 % | 88.33 % | 51 | 87.93 % | 25 | 28 | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 1.48 | 5.75 | 72 | 4.73 | 17 | 22 | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 77.35 % | 1 | 83.38 % | 100 | 100 | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 37.64 % | 70.06 % | 70 | 70.15 % | 7 | 10 | |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 71.85 % | 78.42 % | 69 | 80.67 % | 20 | 20 | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 31.85 % | 39.86 % | 63 | 45.30 % | 25 | 25 | |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 95.56 % | 92.91 % | 56 | 95.32 % | 28 | 31 | |
| Overall Ranking: | | | In State: | 65 / 92 | Nationally: | 15 18 | |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units: | | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$76,506 | \$34,639 | | \$26,635 | 135 Units | 78.00 % | |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$22,112 | \$20,710 | | \$14,938 | 18 Units | 10.40 % | |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$4,815 | \$27,349 | | \$20,675 | 20 Units | 11.60 % | |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$2,736 | | \$3,216 | 0 Units | 0.00 % | |

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (55 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (202 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (295 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Garden Grove CA

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

| | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| PJ: | \$100,543 | \$166,934 | \$4,815 |
| State:* | \$134,233 | \$113,853 | \$28,349 |
| National:** | \$95,185 | \$74,993 | \$23,434 |

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 0.4 %
National Avg: 1.1 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 1.06

| | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| RACE: | | | | | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | | | | |
| White: | 5.4 | 16.7 | 85.0 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 13.2 | 5.6 | 20.0 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Elderly: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 65.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 2.3 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 9.3 | 16.7 | 15.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 56.6 | 72.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 20.2 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 90.7 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE: | | | | |
| 1 Person: | 4.7 | 5.6 | 65.0 | 0.0 | Section 8: | 0.8 | 0.0 [#] | | |
| 2 Persons: | 8.5 | 5.6 | 20.0 | 0.0 | HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 27.1 | 66.7 | 10.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 7.0 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 27.9 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 0.0 | No Assistance: | 92.2 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 19.4 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 3.1 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | # of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 | | 0 | | |

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state


** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Garden Grove State: CA Group Rank: 15
 (Percentile)
 State Rank: 65 / 92 PJs Overall Rank: 18
 (Percentile)
 Summary: 1 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|------------|---|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 76.20% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 50.90% | 37.64 |  |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 71.85 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 90.88% | 95.56 | |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 2.550 | 1.85 | |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

